



Individual, work and flight-related factors that might lead to an outcome of neck and low back pain in military student pilots

RAMS - 19 March 2019

Capt N. Duvigneaud , PhD Physiotherapist, MHQA, Brussels, Belgium Charlotte Bellen, MS Vrije Universiteit Brussel Prof. Dr./Med.Maj N. Pattyn RMA, Belgian Defence







OVERVIEW

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Methods
- 3. Results
- 4. Conclusions
- 5. Key Message



KEY MESSAGE

ST-24



Start prevention for spinal pain as early as possible during Military Pilot Trg.





Spinal pain is a major MSK issue in military pilots







NATO OTAN

AC/323()



÷

NORTH ATLANTIC TREATY ORGANIZATION



RESEARCH AND TECHNOLOGY ORGANIZATION



www.STO.nato.int

STO TECHNICAL REPORT

PUB REF NBR (E.G. STO-TR-IST-999)

Aircrew Neck Pain Prevention and Management

Task Group HFM-252 Final Report

PLEASE READ PRIOR TO WORKING WITH THIS TEMPLATE

This template provides a skeleton document to assist in the writing of an STO <u>AGARDograph</u>, Technical Memorandum or Technical Report.

Note that the final formatting of the headers and footers (which will address titles and page numbering), the Report Documentation Page and the Back Covers will be done by the CSO Editor when the report is being prepared for publishing.

A more detailed explanation of how to use STO templates is contained in the document: <u>Guidance Notes for Usage of STO Templates</u>





Chapter 2 – Aircrew Neck Pain Epidemiology, Definition, and Operational Impact

John Crowley, William W. Dodson, Nathalie Duvigneaud, Philip S. E. Farrell, Helmut Fleischer, Sanna Feberg, Christopher P. Goff, Justin G. Hollands, Roope Sovelius, Ellen <u>Slungaard</u>, Erin Smith, <u>Marieke</u> van den <u>Oord</u>, Thomas Weme

2.1 EPIDEMIOLOGY





- Literature is sparse about spinal pain among Student Pilots (SP)
- +Gz-related neck pain: a follow-up study (Hamäläinen et al 1994)
- MSK pain in High-G aircraft Trg Programs: a survey of SP &
 IP. (Valkenburg & Thompson 2016)





Survey 2006: 90 F16 pilots BAF & RNLAF

• 1y prevalence NP : 42%

Survey 2015 : 71 F16 pilots BAF

- 1y prevalence NP : 80%
- 1y prevalence LBP: 79%



2x





1-y prevalence NP and LBP *





n=41

NP: 86% LBP: 85% NP: 58% LBP: 81% NP: 49% LBP: 62%

n=41

Live Poll on Pilot Meet 11Feb2017



* At least one episode





De

INTRODUCTION







Objectives

- Year prevalence of NP and LBP among military SP
- Indentify associated factors with NP or LBP
- Identify possible predicting factors of NP and LBP





Subjects

- Data of 87 BAF SP (2009-2016)
- Before start specific flight Trg
- During yearly medical check-up





Data (2009-2016)?







Measurements

- Cervical mobility
- Cervical proprioception
- Maximal isometric neck strength
- Questionnaire





Cervical mobility

- 3-D motion analyser Zebris CMS20
- Max ROM: Flex Ext Rot Lateral Flex
- Mean of 3 Rep





Cervical proprioception

- 3-D motion analyser Zebris CMS20
- Neutral position
 - ✓ after submax Flex-Ext (10x)
 - ✓ after submax Right & L Rot (10x)
- 30° Rotation position (5x right & 5x left)





Maximal isometric neck strength

- David F-140 device
- 4 directions: Flex Ext Lat Flex Right Lat Flex Left
- 3 repetitions 30s rest
- Progressive
- Highest peak value







Questionnaire

- 3 parts:
 - General (demographics, health, leisure)
 - Work related (mental & physical)
 - Pilot specific
- Standardized Dutch Musculoskeletal Questionnaire

Hildebrandt et al, 2001





ANALYSES

- At least 1 episode of NP or LBP during past 12 months → NPG or LBPG → categorical dependent variable
- Independent variables: mobility, proprioception, strength, individual/flight/work-related factors
- Independent sample T-test & Chi-Square test
 - Association with NP/LBP?
- Binary logistic regression
 - Prediction of NP/LBP?







MEDICI

RESULTS

Demographic, work and flight- related characteristics

	Mean ± SD	Min	Max
BMI (kg/m2)	22.62 ± 1.67	19.38	27.40
Sleep hrs/night	7.58 ± 0.81	6.00	10.00
Hrs sport/week	4.26 ± 2.48	1.00	15.00
Length in service (yrs)	1.91 ± 1.81	0.00	6.00
Working hrs/week	34.01 ± 15.96	0.00	60.00
Hrs on computer/day	1.62 ± 1.27	0.00	6.00
Hrs in vehicles/week	10.19 ± 5.51	1.00	30.00
Mean flight hrs/year	110.68 ± 38.05	8.00	200.00
Mean flight duration (min)	65.6 ± 8.46	50.00	90.00





Prevalence of spinal pain in the past 12 months*









Qualitative factors associated with NP

Being annoyed by others*

Suffering from LBP in past yr *

*chi² P-value <0.05

Quantitative factors associated with NP

	NPG	No NPG	Р
Mean duration of flights (min)	66.42 ± 8.79	62.33 ± 6.23	0.047**
Neck strength lateral flexion R (Nm)	29.67 ± 11.83	41.80 ± 13.51	0.041**
Neck strength lateral flexion L (Nm)	31.83 ± 8.08	41.43 ± 14.88	0.035**
** P- value <0.05			



RESULTS



Qualitative factors associated with LBP

Lack of endurance work*

Often uncomfortable postures*

Not enough variation*

*chi² P-value <0.05

Suffering from NP in past yr *

Quantitative factors associated with LBP

	LBPG	No LBPG	Р
Mean duration of flights (min)	66.76 ± 8.91	62.33 ± 6.23	0.030**
Hrs on computer/day	1.43 ± 1.08	2.00 ± 1.53	0.048**

** P- value <0.05



RESULTS

OR for predictors of NP among military SP

Independent variables	Wald	Exp (B)	95% CI	p-value
Low back pain*	7.440	7.938	1.791 - 35.171	0.006
Annoyed by others	2.957	4.268	0.816 - 22.307	0.085
Discomfort at work	3.528	4.186	0.940 - 18.651	0.060
Weight*	4.068	0.902	0.817 - 0.997	0.044
Mean duration of flights	2.242	0.907	0.798 - 1031	0.134
Chi ² square (df = 5)	23.230* (p< 0.001)			

* P- value < 0.05



RESULTS



OR for predictors of LBP among military SP

Independent variables	Wald	Exp (B)	95% CI	p-value
Neck pain	2.749	4.019	0.776 - 20.811	0.097
Lack of work requiring endurance*	5.625	0.129	0.024 - 0.700	0.018
Mental fatigue	2.882	4.766	0.786 - 28.911	0.090
Often uncomfortable postures*	4.440	5.173	1.122 - 23.862	0.035
Enough variation	0.022	0.837	0.079 - 8.852	0.882
Taking work problems home	0.213	0.657	0.111 - 3.902	0.644
Number of working hrs/week*	4.897	0.948	0.904 - 0.994	0.027
Hrs on computer/workday	0.836	1.283	0.752 - 2.189	0.361
Mean duration of flights	1.497	0.934	0.837 - 1.042	0.221
Chi ² square (df = 9)	33.843* (p< 0.001)			

* P- value < 0.05



CONCLUSIONS

- NP & LBP already an issue in military SP.
- Year prevalence of LBP is higher than NP in this population.
 - \rightarrow not only focus on the prevention of NP, but also LBP
 - \rightarrow improve education & sensitization





CONCLUSIONS

- Except the average time of flights, no flight related factors were associated with NP or LBP.
 - ightarrow small population SP, younger than 30y, same pilot Trg
- Several individual and work-related factors were associated with NP or LBP in this population.
 - \rightarrow causes of NP & LBP are multifactorial, including psychosocial

well-being





KEY MESSAGE

Start prevention as early as possible during Military Pilot Trg.

- Not only focus on NP but also LBP
- Aircrew education
- Multidisciplinary approach

Follow the pilots during their whole career as pilot.



